

Cross Canada Rounds Presentation Types

	Purpose	Format	Time
Short cases	Each case highlights one key learning point or finding from a diagnostic study	2-3 short cases (~10-15 minutes per case: background of case, findings, importance of the findings in context of the case)	30-45minutes
Long cases	Present one case in depth to highlight the key clinical features, diagnostic path and treatment plan	1 case (~30 minutes presenting the case, 15 minutes on a targeted literature review, not an overview of the entire topic) (case can be chosen because of an interesting diagnosis or to highlight controversy in diagnosis or treatment)	45 minutes
Pro-con debate	2 fellows will debate a topic where there is controversy in management	15 minutes for pro side to present their evidence, 15 minutes for con side to present their evidence, 5 minutes for each person to give a rebuttal (suggest giving your slides to your opponent a week before the presentation so they can prepare a rebuttal)	40-50 minutes
Journal Club	Present one article that changed your practice, provided evidence for your practice or added something new to the literature	10 minutes to present the previous literature surrounding the question, 10 minutes to present overview of the article (including any major methodological issues that arose when the critical appraisal was done, do not need to review each section of the critical appraisal), 10 minutes to present conclusions	30 minutes

Evaluation Form

CROSS CANADA ROUNDS EVALUATION

Presenter Name:

Year of respiratory fellowship (1,2, 3):

Presentation Type:

	Below average	Average	Above average	Outstanding	N/A
Case presentation organized and proceeded in a logical fashion					
Concepts explained clearly					
Literature review and discussion at a level appropriate for year of training					
Slides were visually clear and aided the presentation					
Asked appropriate questions, provided adequate feedback to participants, facilitated discussion between centers					

Which part of the presentation was most interesting or useful?

Which aspects of the presentation could have been improved?

Any other feedback about Cross Canada Rounds (technical issues, content issues etc)?